Annex No. 3

to Resolution No. 2/2017

of the Presidium of the Polish Accreditation Committee

|  |
| --- |
| **TEMPLATE**  **SITE VISIT REPORT**  **(programme follow-up evaluation - ............ profile)** |

of 12 January 2017

**conducted on …………………………… in the field of study in ……………………………………………………..**

**offered as part of ………..…….. at the level of study**

area of education

**with …….. profile1 …….…. carried out in the form of ……………………..**

**by/at ………………………………………………………………………………………..**

**name of the basic organisational unit2 and the higher education institution**

**by the evaluation panel of the Polish Accreditation Committee composed of:**

**Chair: …………………………….., PKA member;**

**Members:**

**……………**

**……………**

**Part 1. Reservations presented in Resolution no. ….. of the PKA Presidium of ............**

(you should list all the reservations and charges forming the basis for the evaluation made in the Resolution and provide justification for them)

**Part 2. Evaluation of the results of corrective measures pertaining to individual reservations and charges presented in Part 1** - you should list the corrective measures taken by the unit and assess their efficacy.

**Part 3. Information on other changes directly related to the field of study introduced over the period between the evaluation conduced by the PKA evaluation panel, which ended with the resolution mentioned in Part 1, and the date of obtaining notice of the programme follow-up evaluation,** and the assessment of legitimacy and efficacy of their introduction.

**Part 4. Summary** – including conclusions on the efficacy and completeness of introduced changes.

# Assessment of the degree of satisfying the programme follow-up evaluation criteria

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Assessment of the degree of satisfying a criterion justifying the award of conditional rating[[2]](#footnote-2)**  **Satisfactory/ Partial** | **Assessment of the degree of satisfying the criterion after the programme follow-up evaluation2**  **Outstanding/ Fully-compliant/ Satisfactory, Partial/ Negative** |
| **Criterion 1. Concept of education and its conformity with HEI’s mission and strategy** |  |  |
| **Criterion 2. Study programme and possibility for achieving intended learning outcomes** |  |  |
| **Criterion 3. Effectiveness of internal education quality assurance system** |  |  |
| **Criterion 4. Staff providing the education process** |  |  |
| **Criterion 5. Cooperation with representatives of social and economic stakeholders in the education process** |  |  |
| **Criterion 6. Internationalisation of the education process** |  |  |
| **Criterion 7. Infrastructure used in the education process** |  |  |
| **Criterion 8. Care and support provided to students in the process of learning and attaining learning outcomes** |  |  |

1. You should only quote the rating concerning the criteria, which justified the award of conditional rating. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. If the ratings for individual levels of study vary, you should quote the rating for each individual level. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)